

Composing bidirectional programs monadically

Li-yao Xia,¹ Dominic Orchard,² Meng Wang,³

¹University of Pennsylvania

²University of Kent

³University of Bristol

ESOP 2019, April 8

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

- ▶ Parsers - printers

String		AST
\x -> x	parse->	Fun "x" (Var "x")
	<-print	

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

- ▶ Parsers - printers

String		AST
\x -> x	parse->	Fun "x" (Var "x")
	<-print	

- ▶ Lenses (getters - setters)

Source (Database)

View (Row)

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

▶ Parsers - printers

String		AST
\x -> x	parse->	Fun "x" (Var "x")
	<-print	

▶ Lenses (getters - setters)

Source (Database)		View (Row)
(Alita, 220) ; (Sechs, 2)	get->	(Alita, 220)

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

▶ Parsers - printers

String		AST
\x -> x	parse->	Fun "x" (Var "x")
	<-print	

▶ Lenses (getters - setters)

Source (Database)		View (Row)
(Alita, 220) ; (Sechs, 2)	get->	(Alita, 220)
		↓
(Alita, 221) ; (Sechs, 2)	<-set	(Alita, 221)

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

► Parsers - printers

String		AST
\x -> x	parse->	Fun "x" (Var "x")
	<-print	

► Lenses (getters - setters)

Source (Database)		View (Row)
(Alita, 220) ; (Sechs, 2)	get->	(Alita, 220)
		↓
(Alita, 221) ; (Sechs, 2)	<-set	(Alita, 221)

Subject to “*round-tripping laws*”.

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

- ▶ Random generators - predicates

```
randomSortedList :: Prob [Int]
```

```
isSortedList :: [Int] -> Bool
```


Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

- ▶ Random generators - predicates

```
randomSortedList :: Prob [Int]
isSortedList    :: [Int] -> Bool
```

- ▶ For random testing of invariants

```
dropSorted :: Property      -- Using QuickCheck
dropSorted =
  forAll randomSortedList (\ (xs :: [Int]) ->
    isSortedList (drop 1 xs))
```

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

Pairs of programs in “opposite directions”.

- ▶ Random generators - predicates

```
randomSortedList :: Prob [Int]
isSortedList    :: [Int] -> Bool
```

- ▶ For random testing of invariants

```
dropSorted :: Property      -- Using QuickCheck
dropSorted =
  forAll randomSortedList (\ (xs :: [Int]) ->
    isSortedList (drop 1 xs))
```

- ▶ “Round-trip”:

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{randomSortedList} = [1,2,3]) > 0$$
$$\text{isSortedList } [1,2,3] = \text{True}$$

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

- ▶ General idea: same relation viewed in two directions.

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

- ▶ General idea: same relation viewed in two directions.

Basic plan of a talk on bidirectional programming:

1. How to obtain both directions from a single description?

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

- ▶ General idea: same relation viewed in two directions.

Basic plan of a talk on bidirectional programming:

1. How to obtain both directions from a single description?
2. What *round-tripping* guarantees to expect?

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

- ▶ General idea: same relation viewed in two directions.

Basic plan of a talk on bidirectional programming:

1. How to obtain both directions from a single description?
2. What *round-tripping* guarantees to expect?
 - ▶ forall v . `parse (print v) = v`
 - ▶ Lens laws: `get (set s v) = v`
 - ▶ Soundness/completeness of generators

Composing **bidirectional programs** monadically

- ▶ General idea: same relation viewed in two directions.

Basic plan of a talk on bidirectional programming:

1. How to obtain both directions from a single description?
2. What *round-tripping* guarantees to expect?
 - ▶ forall v . $\text{parse} (\text{print } v) = v$
 - ▶ Lens laws: $\text{get} (\text{set } s \ v) = v$
 - ▶ Soundness/completeness of generators

Running example for this talk: parsers - printers.

Composing bidirectional programs monadically

- ▶ Present approach: **combinators** to compose bidirectional programs. Typical features:

Composing bidirectional programs monadically

- ▶ Present approach: **combinators** to compose bidirectional programs. Typical features:
 - ▶ DSL as library (= EDSL).

Composing bidirectional programs monadically

- ▶ Present approach: **combinators** to compose bidirectional programs. Typical features:
 - ▶ DSL as library (= EDSL).
 - ▶ Fitting within host language poses design challenges.

Composing bidirectional programs monadically

- ▶ Present approach: **combinators** to compose bidirectional programs. Typical features:
 - ▶ DSL as library (= EDSL).
 - ▶ Fitting within host language poses design challenges.
 - ▶ “Round-tripping” properties usually preserved by combinators (compositionality). A more complicated story here.

Composing bidirectional programs monadically

- ▶ Present approach: **combinators** to compose bidirectional programs. Typical features:
 - ▶ DSL as library (= EDSL).
 - ▶ Fitting within host language poses design challenges.
 - ▶ “Round-tripping” properties usually preserved by combinators (compositionality).
A more complicated story here.
- ▶ What combinators to choose?

Composing bidirectional programs **monadically**

- ▶ Present approach: **combinators** to compose bidirectional programs. Typical features:
 - ▶ DSL as library (= EDSL).
 - ▶ Fitting within host language poses design challenges.
 - ▶ “Round-tripping” properties usually preserved by combinators (compositionality).
A more complicated story here.
- ▶ What combinators to choose?
 - ▶ We can try to adapt known abstractions.

Monads

- ▶ A general interface to compose programs.

```
-- M :: Type -> Type
```

```
(>>=) :: M a -> (a -> M b) -> M b
```

```
return :: a -> M a
```

```
-- + monad laws
```

Monads

- ▶ A general interface to compose programs.

```
-- M :: Type -> Type
```

```
(>>=) :: M a -> (a -> M b) -> M b
```

```
return :: a -> M a
```

```
-- + monad laws
```

- ▶ Example: monadic parser ($M = \text{Parser}$).

```
parseString :: Parser String -- String = [Char]
```

```
parseString =
```

```
  parseInt >>= (\ (n :: Int) ->
    replicateM n parseChar)
```

```
parseInt    :: Parser Int
```

```
parseChar   :: Parser Char
```

```
replicateM :: Int -> Parser a -> Parser [a]
```

Monads, an unlikely candidate

- ▶ Monads (e.g., parsers) are *covariant* functors.

```
type Parser a = [Char] -> (a, [Char])  
fmap :: (a -> b) -> Parser a -> Parser b  
-- Definable from (>>=) and return
```


Monads, an unlikely candidate

- ▶ Monads (e.g., parsers) are *covariant* functors.

```
type Parser a = [Char] -> (a, [Char])
fmap :: (a -> b) -> Parser a -> Parser b
-- Definable from (>>=) and return
```

- ▶ Printers are *contravariant*.

```
type Printer a = a -> [Char]
comap :: (b -> a) -> Printer a -> Printer b
-- can be defined
```

Can a type be both covariant and contravariant?

`fmap` :: (a -> b) -> M a -> M b

`comap` :: (b -> a) -> M a -> M b

Can a type be both covariant and contravariant?

`fmap` :: (a -> b) -> M a -> M b

`comap` :: (b -> a) -> M a -> M b

1. No, it would be *phantom*: the definition of (M a) couldn't use a.

Can a type be both covariant and contravariant?

`fmap` :: (a -> b) -> M a -> M b

`comap` :: (b -> a) -> M a -> M b

1. No, it would be *phantom*: the definition of (M a) couldn't use a.
2. No, but we can use an *invariant type* instead.

`type` (a <-> b) = (a -> b, b -> a)

`invmap` :: (a <-> b) -> M a -> M b

(Popular approach in related work.)

Can a type be both covariant and contravariant?

`fmap` :: (a -> b) -> M a -> M b

`comap` :: (b -> a) -> M a -> M b

1. No, it would be *phantom*: the definition of (M a) couldn't use a.
2. No, but we can use an *invariant type* instead.

`type` (a <-> b) = (a -> b, b -> a)

`invmap` :: (a <-> b) -> M a -> M b

(Popular approach in related work.)

3. **Yes**, with a twist: *profunctors*.

Profunctors

- ▶ Covariance, contravariance, pick two.

Profunctors

- ▶ Covariance, contravariance, pick two.

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type
```

Profunctors

- ▶ Covariance, contravariance, pick two.

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type
```

```
fmap  :: (a -> b) -> P x a -> P x b
```


Profunctors

- ▶ Covariance, contravariance, pick two.

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type
```

```
fmap  :: (a -> b) -> P x a -> P x b
```

```
comap :: (b -> a) -> P a y -> P b y
```

Monadic profunctors

- ▶ Covariance, contravariance, pick two.

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type
```

```
fmap  :: (a -> b) -> P x a -> P x b
```

```
comap :: (b -> a) -> P a y -> P b y
```

- ▶ **New mix:** for any x , $(P\ x)$ is a monad

```
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
```

```
return :: a -> P x a
```

Monadic profunctors

- ▶ Covariance, contravariance, pick two.

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type
```

```
fmap  :: (a -> b) -> P x a -> P x b
```

```
comap :: (b -> a) -> P a y -> P b y
```

- ▶ **New mix:** for any x , $(P\ x)$ is a monad

```
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
```

```
return :: a -> P x a
```

```
-- Definable from (>>=) and return
```

```
fmap :: (a -> b) -> P x a -> P x b
```

Monadic profunctors

- ▶ In summary, minimal definition:

```
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
```

```
return :: a -> P x a
```

```
-- forall x. Monad (P x)
```

```
comap :: (b -> a) -> P a y -> P b y
```

Monadic profunctors

- ▶ In summary, minimal definition:

```
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
```

```
return :: a -> P x a
```

```
-- forall x. Monad (P x)
```

```
comap :: (b -> a) -> P a y -> P b y
```

- ▶ Take `Monad`, add one more type parameter and one more function, that's all we need for bidirectional programming.

Monadic profunctors

- ▶ In summary, minimal definition:

```
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
```

```
return :: a -> P x a
```

```
-- forall x. Monad (P x)
```

```
comap :: (b -> a) -> P a y -> P b y
```

- ▶ Take `Monad`, add one more type parameter and one more function, that's all we need for bidirectional programming.
- ▶ This work: study properties of this simple interface.

Parser monad (again)

```
parseString :: Parser String
parseString =
  parseInt >>= (\n ->
    replicateM n parseChar)

-- assuming
parseInt    :: Parser Int
parseChar   :: Parser Char
replicateM :: Int -> Parser a -> Parser [a]
```

Bidirectional parser profunctor monad

```
biparseString :: Biparser String String
biparseString =
  comap length biparseInt >>= (\n ->
    replicateP n biparseChar)

-- assuming
biparseInt  :: Biparser Int Int
biparseChar :: Biparser Char Char
replicateP :: Int -> Biparser x a -> Biparser [x] [a]
```


Bidirectional parser profunctor monad

```
biparseString :: Biparser String String
biparseString =
  comap length biparseInt >>= (\n ->
    replicateP n biparseChar)

-- assuming
biparseInt  :: Biparser Int Int
biparseChar :: Biparser Char Char
replicateP :: Int -> Biparser x a -> Biparser [x] [a]
```

- ▶ Both a parser and a printer.

Bidirectional parser profunctor monad

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type

comap :: (y -> x) -> P x a -> P y a
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
return :: a -> P x a
-- i.e., forall x. Monad (P x)
```

- ▶ Three monadic profunctors:

Bidirectional parser profunctor monad

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type

comap :: (y -> x) -> P x a -> P y a
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
return :: a -> P x a
-- i.e., forall x. Monad (P x)
```

- ▶ Three monadic profunctors:

```
type Parser' x a = ([Char] -> (a, [Char])) -- Parser a
```

Bidirectional parser profunctor monad

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type
```

```
comap :: (y -> x) -> P x a -> P y a
```

```
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
```

```
return :: a -> P x a
```

```
-- i.e., forall x. Monad (P x)
```

- ▶ Three monadic profunctors:

```
type Parser' x a = ([Char] -> (a, [Char])) -- Parser a
```

```
type Printer x a = (x -> ([Char], a))
```

Bidirectional parser profunctor monad

```
-- P :: Type -> Type -> Type

comap :: (y -> x) -> P x a -> P y a
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
return :: a -> P x a
-- i.e., forall x. Monad (P x)
```

► Three monadic profunctors:

```
type Parser' x a = ([Char] -> (a, [Char])) -- Parser a
type Printer x a = (x -> ([Char], a))
type Biparser x a = (Parser' x a, Printer x a)
-- Parser-printer pairs
```

A concrete example in detail

```
comap length biparseInt :: Biparser [Char] Int
```

A concrete example in detail

```
comap length biparseInt :: Biparser [Char] Int
```

▶ As a parser:

```
parseInt :: Parser Int  -- comap is erased
```

A concrete example in detail

```
comap length biparseInt :: Biparser [Char] Int
```

- ▶ As a parser:

```
parseInt :: Parser Int -- comap is erased
```

- ▶ As a printer:

```
(\ s      -> let n = length s in  
              (printInt n,  n))  
:: [Char] -> ([Char]    , Int)  
-- Printer [Char] Int  
--      ^      ^ result, printed value  
--      ^ "context" around value to print  
  
-- given  
printInt :: Int -> [Char]
```


A general recipe of profunctor monads

- ▶ “Forward” and “backward” profunctor monads.

```
type Fwd m x a = m a
```

A general recipe of profunctor monads

- ▶ “Forward” and “backward” profunctor monads.

```
type Fwd m x a = m a
type Parser' x a = [Char] -> (a, [Char])  --
type Parser' x a = Fwd (State [Char]) x a  -- same
```

A general recipe of profunctor monads

- ▶ “Forward” and “backward” profunctor monads.

```
type Fwd m x a = m a
```

```
type Parser' x a = [Char] -> (a, [Char])  --
```

```
type Parser' x a = Fwd (State [Char]) x a  -- same
```

```
type Bwd n x a = x -> n a
```

A general recipe of profunctor monads

- ▶ “Forward” and “backward” profunctor monads.

```
type Fwd m x a = m a
type Parser' x a = [Char] -> (a, [Char]) --
type Parser' x a = Fwd (State [Char]) x a -- same

type Bwd n x a = x -> n a
type Printer x a = x -> ([Char], a) --
type Printer x a = Bwd (Writer [Char]) x a -- same
```

A general recipe of profunctor monads

- ▶ “Forward” and “backward” profunctor monads.

```
type Fwd m x a = m a
type Parser' x a = [Char] -> (a, [Char]) --
type Parser' x a = Fwd (State [Char]) x a -- same

type Bwd n x a = x -> n a
type Printer x a = x -> ([Char], a) --
type Printer x a = Bwd (Writer [Char]) x a -- same

type (p **: q) x a = (p x a, q x a)
type Biparser x a = (Parser' **: Printer) x a
```

A general recipe of profunctor monads

- ▶ “Forward” and “backward” profunctor monads.

```
type Fwd m x a = m a
type Parser' x a = [Char] -> (a, [Char]) --
type Parser' x a = Fwd (State [Char]) x a -- same

type Bwd n x a = x -> n a
type Printer x a = x -> ([Char], a) --
type Printer x a = Bwd (Writer [Char]) x a -- same

type (p ::: q) x a = (p x a, q x a)
type Biparser x a = (Parser' ::: Printer) x a
```

- ▶ What relation between m in $\text{Fwd } m$ and n in $\text{Bwd } n$?
(unsolved)

Round-tripping properties

```
parse :: Biparser a a -> [Char] -> Maybe a  
print :: Biparser a a -> a -> [Char]
```

Round-tripping properties

```
parse :: Biparser a a -> [Char] -> Maybe a
```

```
print :: Biparser a a -> a -> [Char]
```

- ▶ $p :: \text{Biparser } a \ a$ is *forward round-tripping* if
 $\text{parse } p \ s = \text{Just } a \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{print } p \ a = s$

Round-tripping properties

```
parse :: Biparser a a -> [Char] -> Maybe a
```

```
print :: Biparser a a -> a -> [Char]
```

- ▶ $p :: \text{Biparser } a \ a$ is *forward round-tripping* if
 $\text{parse } p \ s = \text{Just } a \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{print } p \ a = s$
- ▶ $p :: \text{Biparser } a \ a$ is *backward round-tripping* if
 $\text{print } p \ a = s \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{parse } p \ s = \text{Just } a$
 $\text{parse } p \ (\text{print } p \ a) = \text{Just } a \quad \text{-- } \textit{equivalently}$

Round-tripping properties

```
parse :: Biparser a a -> [Char] -> Maybe a
```

```
print :: Biparser a a -> a -> [Char]
```

- ▶ $p :: \text{Biparser } a \ a$ is *forward round-tripping* if
 $\text{parse } p \ s = \text{Just } a \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{print } p \ a = s$
- ▶ $p :: \text{Biparser } a \ a$ is *backward round-tripping* if
 $\text{print } p \ a = s \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{parse } p \ s = \text{Just } a$
 $\text{parse } p \ (\text{print } p \ a) = \text{Just } a \quad \text{-- } \textit{equivalently}$
- ▶ Sadly, round-tripping (bwd or fwd) is **not** guaranteed by construction!

```
comap :: (y -> x) -> P x a -> P y a
```

```
(>>=) :: P x a -> (a -> P x b) -> P x b
```

Verifying round-tripping properties

Verifying round-tripping properties

- ▶ Baseline, naive verification method: extract parser, extract printer, check that they match.

Verifying round-tripping properties

- ▶ Baseline, naive verification method: extract parser, extract printer, check that they match.
- ▶ Can we do better by exploiting the **shared structure** of biparsers?

Verifying round-tripping properties

- ▶ Baseline, naive verification method: extract parser, extract printer, check that they match.
- ▶ Can we do better by exploiting the **shared structure** of biparsers?
- ▶ Plan:
 1. *Weaken* round-tripping to be compositional (i.e., property guaranteed by construction).

Verifying round-tripping properties

- ▶ Baseline, naive verification method: extract parser, extract printer, check that they match.
- ▶ Can we do better by exploiting the **shared structure** of biparsers?
- ▶ Plan:
 1. *Weaken* round-tripping to be compositional (i.e., property guaranteed by construction).
 2. Find a property that covers the difference between *weak* and “real” round-tripping:

Verifying round-tripping properties

- ▶ Baseline, naive verification method: extract parser, extract printer, check that they match.
- ▶ Can we do better by exploiting the **shared structure** of biparsers?
- ▶ Plan:
 1. *Weaken* round-tripping to be compositional (i.e., property guaranteed by construction).
 2. Find a property that covers the difference between *weak* and “real” round-tripping:
 - ▶ necessarily non-compositional,

Verifying round-tripping properties

- ▶ Baseline, naive verification method: extract parser, extract printer, check that they match.
- ▶ Can we do better by exploiting the **shared structure** of biparsers?
- ▶ Plan:
 1. *Weaken* round-tripping to be compositional (i.e., property guaranteed by construction).
 2. Find a property that covers the difference between *weak* and “real” round-tripping:
 - ▶ necessarily non-compositional,
 - ▶ but hopefully “easier” to verify than real round-tripping.

Backward round-tripping (print-then-parse)

- ▶ Recall backward round-tripping:

`print p a = s` \rightarrow `parse p s = Just a`

Backward round-tripping (print-then-parse)

- ▶ Recall backward round-tripping:

```
print p a = s    ->    parse p s = Just a
```

```
parse' :: Biparser x a -> [Char] -> Maybe (a, [Char])
```

```
print'  :: Biparser x a -> x -> ([Char], a)
```

Backward round-tripping (print-then-parse)

- ▶ Recall backward round-tripping:

```
print p a = s    ->    parse p s = Just a
```

```
parse' :: Biparser x a -> [Char] -> Maybe (a, [Char])
```

```
print'  :: Biparser x a -> x -> ([Char], a)
```

- ▶ *Weak backward round-tripping:*

```
print' p x = (s, a)
```

```
->    parse' p (s ++ s') = Just (a, s')
```

Backward round-tripping (print-then-parse)

- ▶ Recall backward round-tripping:

```
print p a = s    ->    parse p s = Just a
```

```
parse' :: Biparser x a -> [Char] -> Maybe (a, [Char])
```

```
print'  :: Biparser x a -> x -> ([Char], a)
```

- ▶ *Weak backward round-tripping:*

```
print' p x = (s, a)
```

```
-> parse' p (s ++ s') = Just (a, s')
```

- ▶ **Compositional**, i.e., holds by construction.

Compositionality

WBRT: Weak backward round-tripping

- ▶ $\text{comap } f \text{ } p$ is WBRT, if p is WBRT.
- ▶ $\text{return } a$ is WBRT for all a
- ▶ $(p \gg= \backslash a \rightarrow k \ a)$ is WBRT, if p is WBRT and for all a , $k \ a$ is WBRT.

Compositionality

WBRT: Weak backward round-tripping

- ▶ `comap f p` is WBRT, if `p` is WBRT.
- ▶ `return a` is WBRT for all `a`
- ▶ `(p >>= \a -> k a)` is WBRT, if `p` is WBRT and for all `a`, `k a` is WBRT.

Only primitives then need to be checked:

- ▶ `biparseChar` is WBRT.

Purification

- ▶ Example: printer component of `biparseChar`.

```
type Printer x a = (x -> ([Char], a))
    -- Printer Char Char
printChar :: Char -> ([Char], Char)
printChar c = ([c], c)
--      ^           ^
```


Purification

- ▶ Example: printer component of `biparseChar`.

```
type Printer x a = (x -> ([Char], a))
                -- Printer Char Char
printChar :: Char -> ([Char], Char)
printChar c = ([c], c)
--          ^         ^
```

- ▶ Key property: **printer returns its input.**

Purification

- ▶ Example: printer component of `biparseChar`.

```
type Printer x a = (x -> ([Char], a))
    -- Printer Char Char
printChar :: Char -> ([Char], Char)
printChar c = ([c], c)
--      ^           ^
```

- ▶ Key property: **printer returns its input.**

```
-- "Pure projection"
projPrinter :: Printer x a -> (x -> a)
projPrinter q x = let (_, a) = q x in a
```

Purification

- ▶ Example: printer component of `biparseChar`.

```
type Printer x a = (x -> ([Char], a))
    -- Printer Char Char
printChar :: Char -> ([Char], Char)
printChar c = ([c], c)
--      ^           ^
```

- ▶ Key property: **printer returns its input.**

```
-- "Pure projection"
projPrinter :: Printer x a -> (x -> a)
projPrinter q x = let (_, a) = q x in a
```

- ▶ for all `c :: Char`, `projPrinter printChar c = c`
i.e., `projPrinter printChar = id`

Purification

- ▶ Example: printer component of `biparseChar`.

```
type Printer x a = (x -> ([Char], a))
    -- Printer Char Char
printChar :: Char -> ([Char], Char)
printChar c = ([c], c)
--      ^           ^
```

- ▶ Key property: **printer returns its input.**

```
-- "Pure projection"
projPrinter :: Printer x a -> (x -> a)
projPrinter q x = let (_, a) = q x in a
```

- ▶ for all `c :: Char`, `projPrinter printChar c = c`
i.e., `projPrinter printChar = id`
- ▶ “`printChar` purifies to `id`.”

Purification

```
-- Let  $P\ x\ a = (x \rightarrow a)$   
-- it's a monad  
-- it's a profunctor  
-- it's a monadic profunctor
```

Purification

```
-- Let  $P\ x\ a = (x \rightarrow a)$   
-- it's a monad  
-- it's a profunctor  
-- it's a monadic profunctor
```

► There is a *monadic profunctor morphism*:

```
proj :: Biparser u a -> (u -> a)
```

Purification

```
-- Let P x a = (x -> a)
--   it's a monad
--   it's a profunctor
--   it's a monadic profunctor
```

► There is a *monadic profunctor morphism*:

```
proj :: Biparser u a -> (u -> a)
```

```
proj (return a)           = return a
proj (p >>= \a -> k a)    = proj p >>= \a -> proj (p a)
proj (comap f p)          = comap f (proj p)
```

Purification

```
-- Let P x a = (x -> a)
--   it's a monad
--   it's a profunctor
--   it's a monadic profunctor
```

► There is a *monadic profunctor morphism*:

```
proj :: Biparser u a -> (u -> a)
```

```
proj (return a)           = return a
proj (p >>= \a -> k a)    = proj p >>= \a -> proj (p a)
proj (comap f p)          = comap f (proj p)
```

```
proj biparseChar = (id :: Char -> Char)
proj biparseInt  = (id :: Int -> Int)
```


Purification and backward round-tripping

`proj` :: `Biparser` `u` `a` \rightarrow (`u` \rightarrow `a`)

- ▶ `Biparser` `p` *purifies to* `id`: `proj p = id`

Purification and backward round-tripping

`proj :: Biparser u a -> (u -> a)`

- ▶ Biparser p *purifies to* `id`: `proj p = id`
 - ▶ Point: **agnostic** to parser-specific details (i.e., source string manipulations).

Purification and backward round-tripping

`proj :: Biparser u a -> (u -> a)`

- ▶ Biparser p *purifies to* `id`: `proj p = id`
 - ▶ Point: **agnostic** to parser-specific details (i.e., source string manipulations).
 - ▶ Equational reasoning.

Purification and backward round-tripping

`proj :: Biparser u a -> (u -> a)`

- ▶ Biparser p *purifies to* `id`: `proj p = id`
 - ▶ Point: **agnostic** to parser-specific details (i.e., source string manipulations).
 - ▶ Equational reasoning.

- ▶ Recall *weak backward round-tripping*:

```
print' p x = (s, a)
  -> parse' p (s ++ s') = Just (a, s')
```

- ▶ *Compositional*, i.e., holds by construction.

Purification and backward round-tripping

```
proj :: Biparser u a -> (u -> a)
```

- ▶ Biparser p *purifies to id*: $\text{proj } p = \text{id}$
 - ▶ Point: **agnostic** to parser-specific details (i.e., source string manipulations).
 - ▶ Equational reasoning.
- ▶ Recall *weak backward round-tripping*:

```
print' p x = (s, a)
  -> parse' p (s ++ s') = Just (a, s')
```

 - ▶ *Compositional*, i.e., holds by construction.
- ▶ Weak backward round-tripping \wedge purifies to id \implies backward round-tripping.

```
print p a = s    -> parse p s = Just a
```

Forward round-tripping (parse-then-print)

► *Weak forward round-tripping*

```
parse' p s = Just (a, s'') -- and
print' p x = (a, s')      --
  ->   s = s' ++ s''
```

Forward round-tripping (parse-then-print)

- ▶ *Weak forward round-tripping*

```
parse' p s = Just (a, s'') -- and
print' p x = (a, s')      --
  ->   s = s' ++ s''
```

- ▶ **Quasicompositional**: some side conditions to satisfy!?

Forward round-tripping (parse-then-print)

- ▶ *Weak forward round-tripping*

```
parse' p s = Just (a, s'') -- and
print' p x = (a, s')      --
->    s = s' ++ s''
```

- ▶ **Quasicompositional**: some side conditions to satisfy!?
- ▶ Weak forward round-tripping \wedge purifies to `id`
 \implies forward round-tripping.

Compositionality (recall)

WBRT: Weak backward round-tripping

- ▶ $\text{comap } f \text{ } p$ is WBRT, if p is WBRT.
- ▶ $\text{return } a$ is WBRT for all a
- ▶ $(p \gg= \backslash a \rightarrow k \ a)$ is WBRT, if p is WBRT and for all a , $k \ a$ is WBRT.

Quasicompositionality

WFRT: Weak forward round-tripping

- ▶ $\text{comap } f \text{ } p$ is WFRT, if p is WFRT.
- ▶ $\text{return } a$ is WFRT for all a
- ▶ $(p \gg= \backslash a \rightarrow k \ a)$ is WFRT, if p is WFRT and for all a , $k \ a$ is WFRT, **and k is an injective arrow.**

Quasicompositionality

WFRT: Weak forward round-tripping

- ▶ $\text{comap } f \text{ } p$ is WFRT, if p is WFRT.
- ▶ $\text{return } a$ is WFRT for all a
- ▶ $(p \gg= \backslash a \rightarrow k \ a)$ is WFRT, if p is WFRT and for all a , $k \ a$ is WFRT, **and k is an injective arrow.**
- ▶ Injectivity generalized to Kleisli arrows.

Quasicompositionality

WFRT: Weak forward round-tripping

- ▶ $\text{comap } f \text{ } p$ is WFRT, if p is WFRT.
- ▶ $\text{return } a$ is WFRT for all a
- ▶ $(p \gg= \backslash a \rightarrow k \ a)$ is WFRT, if p is WFRT and for all a , $k \ a$ is WFRT, **and k is an injective arrow.**
- ▶ Injectivity generalized to Kleisli arrows.
- ▶ $k :: v \rightarrow m \ w$ is an *injective arrow* if there exists a function $k' :: w \rightarrow v$ such that:
$$\begin{aligned} k \ x \gg= (\backslash y \rightarrow \text{return } (\ x, y)) \\ = k \ x \gg= (\backslash y \rightarrow \text{return } (k' \ y, y)) \end{aligned}$$

Quasicompositionality: example

► The function

```
(\ n -> replicateP n p)  
  :: Int -> Biparser [Char] [Char]
```

is an injective arrow, and `length :: [Char] -> Int`
is its *sagittal inverse*.

```
replicateP n p >>= (\xs -> return (      n, xs))  
= replicateP n p >>= (\xs -> return (length xs, xs))
```

Summary

Summary

- ▶ Monads for bidirectional programming: *monadic profunctors*.

Summary

- ▶ Monads for bidirectional programming: *monadic profunctors*.
- ▶ Round-tripping decomposed into *weak round-tripping* and a *purification* property.
 - ▶ Only need to reason about a domain-agnostic interpretation of the program.

Summary

- ▶ Monads for bidirectional programming: *monadic profunctors*.
- ▶ Round-tripping decomposed into *weak round-tripping* and a *purification* property.
 - ▶ Only need to reason about a domain-agnostic interpretation of the program.
- ▶ Problem in the parse-then-print round-trip: *generalized injectivity* requirement.

Summary

- ▶ Monads for bidirectional programming: *monadic profunctors*.
- ▶ Round-tripping decomposed into *weak round-tripping* and a *purification* property.
 - ▶ Only need to reason about a domain-agnostic interpretation of the program.
- ▶ Problem in the parse-then-print round-trip: *generalized injectivity* requirement.
- ▶ More in the paper: lenses and random generators-predicates.

Conclusion

Future work:

- ▶ More practice, more features, e.g., backtracking, lookahead in parsers?¹

¹<https://github.com/Lysxia/unparse-attoparsec>

Conclusion

Future work:

- ▶ More practice, more features, e.g., backtracking, lookahead in parsers?¹
- ▶ How to enforce injectivity of arrows/functions (maybe linear types)?

¹<https://github.com/Lysxia/unparse-attoparsec>

Conclusion

Future work:

- ▶ More practice, more features, e.g., backtracking, lookahead in parsers?¹
- ▶ How to enforce injectivity of arrows/functions (maybe linear types)?
- ▶ A theory of bidirectional programs with round-tripping properties? ($\text{Fwd } m, \text{Bwd } n$)

¹<https://github.com/Lysxia/unparse-attoparsec>

Conclusion

Future work:

- ▶ More practice, more features, e.g., backtracking, lookahead in parsers?¹
- ▶ How to enforce injectivity of arrows/functions (maybe linear types)?
- ▶ A theory of bidirectional programs with round-tripping properties? ($\text{Fwd } m, \text{Bwd } n$)

Thank you!

¹<https://github.com/Lysxia/unparse-attoparsec>